

# An Education in Tax Cuts

June 16, 2002

This story comes from Stewart H. Welch III in his column in *The Birmingham News*, June 15, 2002, page C4. This story was sent to him as an email and the original author is unknown. Stewart encouraged the distribution of this so here it is.

I was having lunch with one of my favorite clients last week and the conversation turned to the government's recent round of tax cuts.

"I'm opposed to those tax cuts," the retired college instructor declared, "because they benefit the rich. The rich get much more money back than ordinary taxpayers like you and I and that's not fair."

"But the rich pay more in the first place," I argued, "so it stands to reason that they'd get more money back."

I could tell that my friend was unimpressed by the meager argument. Even college instructors are a prisoner of the myth that the 'rich' somehow get a free ride. Nothing could be further from the truth. Let's put tax cuts in terms everyone can understand.

Suppose that every day 10 men go to dinner. The bill for all 10 comes to \$100. If it was paid the way we pay our taxes, the first four men would pay nothing; the fifth would pay \$1; the sixth would pay \$3; the seventh \$7; the eighth \$12; the ninth \$18. The tenth man (the richest) would pay \$59.

The 10 men ate dinner in the restaurant every day and seemed quite happy with the arrangement until the owner threw them a curve.

"Since you are all such good customers," he said, "I'm going to reduce the cost of your daily meal by \$20. Now dinner for the 10 only costs \$80."

The first four are unaffected. They still eat for free. Can you figure out how to divvy up the \$20 savings among the remaining six so that everyone gets his fair share?

The men realize that \$20 divided by 6 is \$3.33, but if they subtract that from everybody's share, then the fifth man and the sixth man would end up being paid to eat their meal.

The restaurant owner suggested that it would be fair to reduce each man's bill by roughly the same amount and he proceeded to work out the amounts each should pay.

And so the fifth man paid nothing, the sixth pitched in \$2, the seventh paid \$5, the eighth paid \$9, the ninth paid \$12, leaving the tenth man with a bill of \$52 instead of \$59.

Outside the restaurant, the men began to compare their savings.

"I only got a dollar out of the \$20," declared the sixth man pointing to the tenth, "and he got \$7!"

## **An Education in Tax Cuts**

"Yeah, that's right," exclaimed the fifth man. I only saved a dollar too. It's unfair that he got seven times more than me!"

"That's true," shouted the seventh man. "Why should he get \$7 back when I got only \$2? The wealthy get all the breaks."

"Wait a minute," yelled the first four men in unison. "We didn't get anything at all. The system exploits the poor."

The nine men surrounded the tenth and beat him up. The next night he didn't show up for dinner, so the nine sat down and ate without him. But when it came time to pay the bill, they discovered something important. They were \$52 short.

And that, boys and girls and college instructors, is how the tax system works. The people who pay the highest taxes get the most benefit from a tax reduction. Tax them too much, attack them for being wealthy, and they just may not show up at the table anymore.