

Evolution *is* Creation

by Kenneth A. Kuhn
Jan. 10, 2010, revised May 1, 2011

Faith versus science

One of the easiest ways to start an argument is to bring up the subject of evolution among people who believe in creation. It seems that the world is divided into the “evil” evolutionists and the “righteous” creationists. Some have said that no true Christian can support evolution. Before continuing, it should be pointed out that science is not something one believes in – it just is what it is. Faith, on the contrary, is believing in something that can never be proved. The inability to prove does not mean that something is not true and faith serves a useful purpose concerning valuable un-provable intangibles. People are asked the question, “Do you believe in Creation or evolution?” That question has no answer because as I will point out below, evolution *is* Creation. Evolution is no longer a theory. It is a proven scientific fact. But that does not mean that Creation did not occur. People of religious faith should not feel threatened by science. In fact, quite the contrary, science provides strong reasons to view Creation not as a faith or belief but as a fact – the very point that religious people have been trying to make. This battle between Creationists and evolutionists has never made any sense. Educated people rightfully avoid the discussion because the end result is always something at the low-intellect level. It is comical that both sides are arguing about the same thing – but do not realize it. My purpose in this piece is to provide clarity.

Contrary to myth, science has never disputed Creation. One goal of the scientist is to understand how it was done. God created human kind with the attribute of curiosity. Therefore God expects human kind to be curious and seek knowledge and understanding. God created scientists. Why should other human kind view scientists as anti-God? Not everyone is interested in how Creation took place and that is fine. But there will always be a certain portion of the population that is interested and they should be able to pursue those interests.

Ramifications of the big myth

One of the biggest problems in any issue is that charlatans on various sides create crafty misinformation that they know the gullible masses (a.k.a. sheeple) will fall for. This condemns the issue to exist at the moron level. If only the masses could be educated enough to not listen to charlatans the world could make a lot more sense and peace could be widespread.

One of the vilest pieces of misinformation concerning evolution is that Darwin said that human kind evolved from apes. To many religious people that concept is very abhorrent and insulting and full reason to fight the evolutionists. Whether you believe or do not believe that myth it is critical to understand its implications. For purposes of the

Evolution is Creation

following discussion I am going to accept that myth as true and point out the ramifications of such a truth.

To say that humans evolved from apes implies that God created apes first and some evolutionary process then resulted in humans. Notice that even with this horrific example of evolution that there still was a Creator. Evolution is not denying Creation as the creationists frequently preach.

However, if God did not create the apes, then humans came about via evolution only without any Divine control. Maybe that is the point. Apes and all other life forms must have come about via evolution from a non-Divine starting point and only humans were created by God. Humans then will not adapt to environmental changes and are condemned to die off as the planetary environment changes outside a narrow range. Other life will live and humans will die thus demonstrating the greatness of God and creationism. If this idiocy does not make one challenge their beliefs then I do not know what will.

But now let us consider the positive side of such an evolution. Wouldn't that imply Divine greatness? Humans are still as they are today and still superior (at least intellectually, I think – not sure that applies universally) to the apes. God still exists and remains the ultimate Creator. What is wrong with that?

To bring truth and clarification to the big myth, Darwin never said that humans evolved from apes. He felt that apes and humans had a common ancestor but did not know what that was. Modern science has been able to trace much more than was possible in Darwin's time and there is now strong evidence for what that common ancestor was. I do not want to open a new controversy so I will let the reader do their own research on that topic.

Why do changes occur?

Simplistically, an offspring receives half of its DNA from its mother and the other half from its father. However, there is also a random component where there are small distortions in each DNA half. Without this random component then change is precisely zero and evolution would not exist. A species would continue reproducing itself exactly until such a time that life was incompatible with the changing environment and the species would become extinct.

However, some of the small changes that occur with each breeding cycle may result in the new offspring being better or worse suited for its environment. On any breeding cycle these changes are too small to notice. But they accumulate over time in that those with less desirable characteristics tend to die off and those with desirable characteristics tend to dominate the gene pool. That is adaptation in a nutshell. Evolution is simply adaptation carried to the extreme over vast time periods. The result is continued life thus confirming the greatness of the Creator. What good is Creation if all life dies out?

Evolution is Creation

Adaptation versus evolution

In view of overwhelming observable evidence some religious types have accepted that adaptation is real but evolution is still not accepted. This is a start. Adaption is something that occurs over relatively short time spans (from thousands to perhaps a few million years) and evolution is something that always occurs over long time spans involving many millions of years. In adaptation the fundamental species changes little in general although those changes may be significant relative to its changing environment. In evolution the changes are huge and the resultant species is hardly recognizable compared to the starting point. Adaption is just a micro version of evolution and there is no difference.

The superiority of religion over God

What man is so presumptuous to forbid God from creating the world a certain way? Creationist doctrine prohibits God from using evolution as a tool. That makes no sense. These same people seem to require that God create human kind XXXX years B.C. in the exact image of modern day people. Who are these people to tell God anything? What is wrong with accepting that God created the world and all life therein? The mechanisms of how God created all aspects of the world and life are not yet fully understood. So how can we be so expert as to say that God did not do it a particular way? The Bible only says that God created human kind. It does not say how – that is an important point.

An obvious question is how one accounts for the various races and ethnicities around the world if there was only a singular starting point. Genetic variation, i.e. evolution, must be real. That brings up fodder for another argument – what is the race or ethnicity of God? I will avoid that topic as it could start a serious fight.

Evolution from Extraterrestrials

Although I am not one of them, there are some who believe that modern humans evolved from extraterrestrial visitors who purportedly came to Earth some thousands of years ago and mated with the then human race and passed on superior traits. Supposedly, this explains the so-called missing link in tracing evolution from early humans to present. This is a horrifying thought for many in the religious world. However, a close examination of this concept provides strength to the religious position of an omnipotent God who created all. It is well known from science that life forms with incompatible DNA can not produce offspring. That offspring would be produced from such breeding is proof of genetic compatibility. That two beings that came from different planets in the universe would have compatible DNA overwhelmingly suggests a common creation – i.e. God created both. So the religious types should open their eyes and minds to the fact that science is actually on their side.

Evolution *is* Creation

In summary and conclusion

Think in terms of God creating life in a world that is constantly changing. From human observation it is known that many life forms can only exist in a narrowly defined environment. Human study of the past has shown that that the environment has radically changed outside those narrow limits numerous times over the years. That would seem to condemn many species to extinction but hasn't. Yes, some species did die off but others did not. Perhaps the greatest miracle of Creation is building into an organism a mechanism to adapt to a wide ranging environment. God knew His world would be changing. God had the genius to give life the ability to change correspondingly. What is wrong with that? Science marvels at the genius of God. Science supports Creation. Evolution is a tool of Creation. Creationists should embrace evolution as further proof of God's existence. By definition, to evolve there has to be a starting point. God provided that starting point. Accept that and quit wasting time trying to tell God how to run his business. *Evolution is Creation.*