

3540 Valley Circle
Birmingham, AL 35243
April 8, 2010
xxx xxx xxxx
kennethakuhn@xxxxx.com

Clerk of the Court
United States District Court
46 E. Ohio Street
Room 105
Indianapolis, IN 46304

To the court regarding Civil Action No. 08-cv-0068 WTL-TAB;

I object in entirety to the proposed settlement because it violates my rights as a stockholder in Lilly. I own 1,000 shares of stock in Lilly as shown on a copy of a recent brokerage statement attached to this letter. My reasons for objecting are enumerated below.

1. If the judge and the plaintiffs' law firms would take the time away from partying in country clubs to check the facts they would learn that the proper venue for issues of corporate governance is majority shareholder vote and not a court. **I object to the Settlement because the court does not have jurisdiction and by assuming jurisdiction usurps my rights as a stockholder.** The plaintiffs' (probably stooges of the plaintiffs' law firms based on my past experience with such cases) case was too weak to present to the shareholders so the plaintiffs are attempting to circumvent the shareholders via the court. Minority shareholders do not have the right to impose on the majority shareholders. The plaintiffs' law firms should have known better and were 100% incompetent in filing the case. The court should have known better and was 100% incompetent in admitting the case. This case is just another example of the gross incompetence and corruption I have observed in the pathetic practice of law in the United States. I am not allowed to know but I wish the degree of gratuities that perpetuate cases such as these could be made public.
2. The only reason that a settlement exists is because of the threat of unending distraction and legal expense to the defendants – no different than extortion settlements paid to the Mafioso over the years. In this case the scales of justice are biased heavily in favor of the plaintiffs' counsel. The Court has seriously failed in its obligation and responsibility to insure balance between defendant and plaintiff rights and should not commit further error by accepting the Settlement based on imbalance. **I object to the Settlement because it is seriously imbalanced.**

3. **I object to the Settlement because the derivative claims are not in the interest of the company as they are theoretically supposed to be.** My analysis of the settlement provisions shows that every item has an impressive description that craftily camouflages the fact that each is nebulous – only methods of creating work churn with no useful results or benefit to the company or its stockholders – only reports to be reviewed by overpaid lawyers. That is the whole point. The benefit is for lawyers, not the company.
4. The monetary amount sought by plaintiffs’ counsel is excessive considering that counsel should have been competent to advise plaintiffs of the proper venue for settling such matters without unnecessary legal expense to any party. The proposed changes to corporate governance did not require legal skills to produce thus legal fees should not be paid. The Settlement notice makes the point that 85,000 pages of documents were reviewed. It would make no difference if it had been one hundred trillion pages of bullshit – numbers do not transform that into value. Since no useful work was done then there should be no pay for the plaintiffs’ or their lawyers. **I object to the plaintiffs’ lawyers and named plaintiffs being paid anything.** In fact, they should be required to fully pay all expenses incurred by the defendants plus pay a punitive fine of not less than one hundred million dollars to the court for filing a frivolous lawsuit.

The Court should dismiss the Settlement and the Case. The matter should be closed. The majority shareholders should be allowed to vote their interests without interference from the Court or minority shareholders.

I know this objection will be ignored. They always are. But I will continue objecting and encouraging others to object. Our legal system is hopelessly corrupt. I dream of the day when corrupt judges and lawyers will truly get the justice they deserve – the guillotine.

Sincerely yours,

Kenneth A. Kuhn

Attachment: Copy of brokerage statement proving stock ownership in Lilly.

Copies of this letter have been sent to: each Plaintiffs’ and Defense Counsel at the addresses specified in the Settlement notice.