

3540 Valley Circle  
Birmingham, AL 35243  
June 18, 2010  
xxx xxx xxxx

Register in Chancery  
Court of Chancery  
500 North King Street  
Wilmington, Delaware 19801

To the Court IN RE: Wyeth Shareholders Litigation, Cons. Civil Action No. 4329-VCN;

As an owner of 200 shares (proof attached) of Wyeth I object to the Plaintiffs' lawyers being paid anything in regards to this litigation because they have done no credible work on behalf of the class of real shareholders/investors. This case is nothing more than extortion via court. The statement that the "Plaintiff's Counsel believes that the additional disclosures assisted Class members ..." is fantasy bogus as the litigation was only a nuisance to the merger. No terms were changed and the merger proceeded as it would have anyway. Therefore, no credible work was done by the Plaintiffs' lawyers for the class and they should not be paid. In fact, their actions cost the shareholders value in that their investment was distracted. Any payment is unfair as it comes from shareholder value. In truth the Plaintiff's lawyers owe the shareholders. It is implausible to believe that the litigation had any effect on the final outcome. Pfizer purchased Wyeth at a premium to market so any claim that the deal is unfair to Wyeth shareholders is bogus.

I want to know why the Settlement Notice is dated May 4 but that it was mailed in an untimely manner so that I received the Notice on June 18 and the stated deadline for filings is 10 business days prior to June 29, 2010 – an impossible feat unless I have access to a time machine. The untimely mailing is suspiciously convenient to insure that there are no objections in the way of the Plaintiffs' lawyers receiving their extortion payment. But I am objecting anyway and I demand that the Court accept this.

This case has the stench of the highly corrupt practice of plaintiffs' law firms maintaining a compensated group of professional plaintiffs. Such "professionals" do not represent my interests – therefore this case is frivolous and without merit. A competent judge does not allow such cases to come before the Court and severely punishes lawyers who bring such cases. The inverse is proof of incompetence. Your Honor, please do your part to put a stop to cases like this. Award the Plaintiffs' lawyers nothing.

Sincerely Yours,

Kenneth A. Kuhn

cc: Brian D. Long, S. Mark Hurd, Raymond J. DiCamillo